



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/529,272 | 10/05/2005 | James Michael Mattern | 26978A-002710US | 2138 |
| 77196 | 7590 | 12/05/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP Two Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-3834 | | KOYAMA, KUMIKO C | | |
| | | ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2887 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 12/05/2008 | | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| <i>Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/529,272 | MATTERN, JAMES MICHAEL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KUMIKO C. KOYAMA | 2887 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KUMIKO C. KOYAMA. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Aaron Kamlay. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Edelmann and Herbert.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Mr. Kamlay, described the present invention and described the differences between the invention and prior art. The Examiner described her interpretation of the claims and the rejection. The Examiner also suggested some possible amendments that appears to overcome the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Kumiko C. Koyama/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887 | |
|---|--|